

Moira Gidseg
Visiting Assistant Professor
College of the Holy Cross

 sites.google.com/view/moira-gidseg |  moira.sinclair@gmail.com |  +1(626)235-3797
Department of Economics and Accounting, College of the Holy Cross, Worcester, MA 01610

RESEARCH FIELDS

Experimental Economics, Behavioral Economics

EDUCATION

University of Arizona	Tucson, AZ
Ph.D. Economics (M.A. 2022 en route)	2026
B.A. Philosophy, Politics, Economics, and Law	2020
B.S. Ecology and Evolutionary Biology (with Honors)	2020
Minor in Japanese	

WORKING PAPERS

The Allures of Power (Job Market Paper, reject and resubmit at *Games and Economic Behavior*)

This paper investigates intrinsic preferences for power over others' outcomes using a laboratory experiment. I decompose the intrinsic value of power into three components: self-recognition (the power-holder's awareness of her own power), other-recognition (others' awareness of the power-holder's power), and having options over others' outcomes. Using a combination of experimental games, including a novel "Points Power Game" in which subjects can pay to control an outcome that has no material consequences for their partner, I find that a substantial majority (86%) of subjects exhibit behavior consistent with intrinsic preferences for power by at least one criterion. While intrinsic preferences for power are widespread, I find little evidence that self- or other-recognition increase willingness to pay for power. The estimates are precise enough to rule out moderate effects, although this may reflect limitations of the recognition manipulation rather than a true absence of effects. In contrast, I find suggestive evidence that subjects intrinsically value having options over others' outcomes: 49% of subjects purchased more power than needed to achieve their chosen outcome. Subjects appear to place greater intrinsic value on options to increase others' outcomes than on options to decrease them. These findings offer preliminary evidence that the mere possession of options over others carries value beyond what distributional preferences alone can explain.

A Bliss Point Model of Distributional Preferences

I propose a new model of distributional preferences, the "Bliss Point" model. According to this model, each person has a preferred payoff for others which is a linear function of his own payoff, and he suffers a utility penalty proportional to the distance between this bliss point for his co-players and the actual payoffs. To evaluate the empirical relevance of this model, I create an experiment in which subjects play several games which affect both their own payoff and that of an anonymous partner. I used their behavior to estimate individual-level parameters of my model as well as three others: inequality aversion (Fehr & Schmidt, 1999), quasi-maximin (Charness & Rabin, 2002), and constant elasticity of substitution (Andreoni & Miller, 2002). I then compare the models' ability to predict behavior out-of-sample. I find that the Bliss Point model generally predicts about as well as the other models. Further, it is a better predictor than the other models for up to 7% of subjects, depending on the task. This suggests that the Bliss Point model is a useful alternative to existing models.

The Intrinsic Value of Choosing for Others

I investigate whether the out-of-sample predictive accuracy of existing distributional preference models can be improved by appending a “discretion” term which captures the intrinsic value of choosing for others (i.e., valuing control over others as distinct from caring about their outcomes). I propose a specific functional form for the discretion term that distinguishes between positive discretion (options to increase another’s payoff) and negative discretion (options to decrease it), with diminishing marginal utility. I append this term to four distributional preference models, and estimate individual-level parameters using the Power Game (in which subjects spend their own earnings to gain control over a partner’s payoff). I then assess predictive accuracy on non-estimation data using the root mean squared error. I find that the discretion term improves spending prediction accuracy for approximately 18–23% of subjects across all four distribution models. Importantly, the discretion term rarely worsens prediction (1–4% of subjects). These findings suggest that incorporating the intrinsic value of decision rights over others into utility models can meaningfully improve economists’ ability to predict behavior in social contexts.

PUBLICATIONS

Gidseg, M. (2026). *The allures of power over others* (Publication No. 32743267) [Doctoral dissertation, University of Arizona]. ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global.

Gidseg, M. (2026). *Investigation of the relationship between methuselah and stress granules in young Drosophila melanogaster* [Honors thesis, University of Arizona]. UA Campus Repository.
<http://hdl.handle.net/10150/662842>

Stress granules are complexes of misfolded mRNA and protein which form when an organism is stressed and then dissolve afterwards. Connections between stress granule dynamics and genetic mutations which extend longevity have been found in several organisms. However, no studies have yet examined the relationship between stress granule dynamics and the longevity-increasing mutation *meth¹* (methuselah) in fruit flies. In this paper, I generate a line of flies containing both *meth¹* and *rin*-GFP (a gene which makes stress granules fluorescent). I then expose young flies both with and without the mutation to heat shock, which induces stress, so that I can compare stress granule formation in their brains. I find that the *meth¹-rin*-GFP flies formed larger stress granules than flies without the mutation, supporting the hypothesis that the *meth¹* mutation affects stress granule dynamics in early life. This makes further study of *meth¹* a promising avenue for understanding longevity.

CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS

“A Bliss Point Model of Distributional Preferences,” *2026 Asia/Pacific Meeting of the Economic Science Association*, Melbourne, Australia. April 2026.

“Modeling Preferences for Power Over Others,” *2025 North American Meeting of the Economic Science Association*, Tucson, Arizona. October 2025.

“Modelling Preferences for Power Over Others,” *2025 Asia-Pacific Meeting of the Economic Science Association in OSAKA*, Osaka, Japan. March 2025.

“The Allure of Power,” *Conference in honor of Gary Charness*, Tucson, Arizona. January 2025. (Poster)

“The Allure of Power,” *2024 North American Meeting of the Economic Science Association*, Columbus, Ohio. October 2024.

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE

Organizing Committee, 2025 North American Meeting of the Economic Science Association

TEACHING

College of the Holy Cross, Department of Economics and Accounting

Principles of Economics (ECON 110) — Fall 2026

Statistics (ECON 249) — Fall 2026

University of Arizona, Department of Economics

Behavioral Economics (ECON 436) — Summer 2025, Summer 2024

Microeconomic Analysis for Business Decisions (ECON 300, online) — Winter 2024

SKILLS

Programming Languages/Specialist Software: Python, C#, Stata, ztree, Unity

Languages: English (native), Japanese (intermediate / CEFR B1 / JLPT N4-equivalent)

REFERENCES

Charles Noussair

Eller Professor of Economics (*Ph.D. Advisor*)

Director, Economic Science Laboratory

University of Arizona

cnoussair@arizona.edu | +1(520)621-6229

Martin Dufwenberg

Distinguished Professor of Economics, Purdue University

Visiting Professor, University of Gothenburg

mdufwenb@purdue.edu

Inga Deimen

Associate Professor of Economics

University of Arizona

ideimen@arizona.edu | +1(520)621-6238

Price V. Fishback

Regents Professor

APS Professor of Economics

University of Arizona

fishback@arizona.edu | +1(520)621-4421

John Z. Drabicki

Vice Chair of Economics

Associate Professor of Economics

McCoy/Rogers Faculty Fellow

McClelland Fellow

University of Arizona

drabicki@arizona.edu | +1(520)621-4221