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Have you ever wondered ...

- How can I figure out whether graduate school would be right for me?
- How can I increase my chances of being accepted into a good graduate program or getting a good psychology-related job?
- How can I get to know my professors in a setting outside of class?
- How can I learn about psychology in an active, hands-on way?
- How can I obtain an in-depth understanding of topics that interest me?
- How can I become more involved in the Department?
- How could I become an author of a published paper or do a presentation at a national conference?

If you have ever asked yourself any of these questions, then you might be interested to know more about the opportunities that exist for you to get involved in research in the Department of Psychology at the College of the Holy Cross.

The Psychology faculty at Holy Cross are actively involved in research. At any given time, there are many different research projects underway in the Department. Psychology is a broad discipline, and the research interests of the Psychology faculty fully reflect this breadth. We do both human and animal research; we study people across the entire lifespan: from children, to adolescents, to the elderly; and we are interested in topics that range broadly from culture and social behavior to neuropsychology. Contact your professors if you are curious to know what research projects they are working on. Psychologists love to talk about their research! More important, many members of the Psychology faculty welcome the opportunity to involve students in their research. Collaborating in this way provides us with an opportunity to work closely with individual students and we greatly value the contributions they can make to our research projects. We also greatly enjoy the opportunity to help students realize their own potential as researcher/scholars and strengthen their commitment to the discipline of psychology.

The purpose of this booklet is to provide you information about the wide range of research opportunities that are available in the Psychology Department at Holy Cross. There are many different ways that you can involve yourself in research, whether it is by enrolling in a Directed Readings (PSYC 470) or Research Projects (PSYC 480) course, or simply volunteering some time to work with a professor on a specific project. This booklet describes the types of research projects that are carried out here. Following each profile, selected publications are listed. If you are interested in finding out more about how you could get involved in research, it would be a good idea to contact any professor whose research seems interesting to you.
How do children and adults acquire and reason with complex concepts and systems of knowledge? What is common to all humans, and what is the influence of the learning context? How do we design the conditions that support the acquisition of complex concepts? My overarching interest in conceptual development in different learning conditions has led me to pursue several lines of research.

In one line of research, I have examined how people’s conceptions of the biological world are shaped by the naming practices of their communities. This work adopts a cross-cultural perspective to examine English- and Indonesian-speaking children’s acquisition of fundamental biological concepts (ANIMAL, PLANT, LIVING THING), their names, and the relations among them. Our studies showed that these cross-linguistic differences are reflected in children’s categorization and reasoning about biological entities. In more recent work, I found that even adult Indonesian speakers choose to make judgments that seem illogical but are consistent with this naming practice. These results suggest a complex interplay between naming, logical thinking, and development.

A second line of research examines the conditions that support people’s learning of science concepts. This work uses a cognitive and developmental science approach to examine issues of educational relevance. I am currently exploring ways to support spatial thinking in children’s learning of basic astronomy concepts. I have also begun bridging my interests in everyday conceptions of the natural world and in the acquisition of scientific knowledge. Having observed several interesting cultural practices related to illness in Indonesia, such as attributing flu-like symptoms to masuk angin (literally translated as “trapped wind”), I recently begun to investigate Indonesian novices’ and experts’ beliefs about the cause, transmission, prevention, and treatment of illness.

My third line of research examines the cognitive mechanisms that support relational learning and representation in general. Our results suggest that comparison and relational language promote children’s relational abstraction, and young children in particular benefit from a combination of enriched comparison and relational language.

**Selected Publications** (Asterisks indicate students supervised.)


My graduate training was in physiological psychology and for many years my research efforts focused on investigating how steroid hormones alter brain structures and functions. The publications listed below provide examples of work examining the development of sex differences in brain structures controlling a variety of social behaviors. In recent years my interests have moved away from traditional “lab work” as I have become interested in issues concerning health and medicine. In particular, I’m interested in understanding why so many Americans use some form of “Complementary and Alternative Medicine” (CAM). Survey research has documented Americans that use alternative approaches, do so for a variety of reasons. Many CAM users report that alternative therapies provides them a sense of control that is often missing from mainstream medicine.

What are the possible benefits (psychological and physiological) of gaining a sense of control? How does gaining a sense of control relate to what social psychologists refer to as self-efficacy? If there are benefits from gaining a sense of control, are they merely placebo effects? If so, how are placebo effects defined and studied? It is interesting that while mainstream medicine usually attempts to “control” for the placebo effect, much of CAM attempts to harness mechanisms related to mind-body interactions. In addition to exploring these issues, my overall interest in questions concerning health and medicine extends to the importance of diet and nutrition. Many of the leading causes of death (cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer) are all related to lifestyle, most importantly our diet. Clearly, gaining a sense of control of one’s diet appears to be a crucial factor contributing to our health and well-being.

Selected Publications
The nervous system integrates information about the environment, internal states, and past experiences to produce organismal behavior. Stressful experiences trigger dramatic physiological responses that alter nervous system function in profound, complex, and long-lasting ways. Ideally, responses to stress are adaptive and promote survival of the individual. Of the multiple genetic and environmental factors that contribute to risk of developing psychiatric disorders, stressful life experience is arguably the most common risk factor, and exacerbating factor. We are interested in long-term changes in brain structure, physiology, cognition, and behavior that result from stressful experience.

Most of the known neural mechanisms of experience-dependent synaptic plasticity in the developing and adult mammalian brain involve excitatory neurotransmission of glutamate via the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor pathway. This receptor pathway is of particular interest in schizophrenia because blockade of the NMDA receptor engenders a clinical syndrome that includes many hallmarks of the disorder. The NMDA receptor has several endogenous modulators of its function, which are regulated by genes that have been identified as putative risk factors for psychiatric disease. One of these modulators is D-serine, which is made available in the brain by the enzyme serine racemase, most prominently expressed throughout the limbic forebrain. With a multidisciplinary team of collaborators, I characterized the phenotype of a mutant mouse in which the serine racemase gene was functionally deleted using recombinant DNA technology. Among the consequences of this genetic manipulation were near complete loss of brain D-serine, decreased NMDA-mediated neurotransmission, loss of NMDA-mediated long-term potentiation of hippocampal synapses, and deficits in spatial memory. This initial characterization of the mutant phenotype of the serine racemase knockout mouse has given traction to investigation of the role of D-serine in other domains of neuroplasticity, cognition, and behavior. I am particularly interested in the role of NMDA receptors in stress-induced synaptic plasticity.

There are many basic questions in the behavioral neuroscience of stress that we hope to address using mice as our model system: Stressful experiences can have very different effects at the cellular and circuit levels in different regions of the brain. What is the sequence and interdependency if any of these changes? Why do similar manipulations have different effects at different stages of development, and can these differences be understood in terms of transitions in the naturalistic behaviors of a species? Early life stress can promote either risk for or resilience to stressful experience later in life, a finding that has far-reaching implications for the biological basis of vulnerability to psychiatric illness. Can the experimental application of a stressor be titrated to produce risk versus resilience to future stressful experience? If so, what neural mechanisms mediate the inflection point between the possible outcomes?

Selected Publications
I am a biological psychologist broadly trained in the field of brain-behavior relationships. I teach introductory, intermediate, and advanced courses, including introduction to psychology, physiological psychology, biological basis of mental disorders, drugs of abuse, and the neurobiology of stress. I have also developed a course entitled "Medicine, Science, and the Holocaust" in which the role of science and medicine is examined relative to the fields of eugenics, genetics, and biomedical ethics.

My general area of research interest is in the neuroscience of psychiatric disorders. I have studied behavioral responses to drugs that alter brain chemistry in animal models of anxiety, depression, and schizophrenia. Most recently, my lab is studying ways by which antipsychotic medications alleviate symptoms of schizophrenia. We use a simple behavioral assay in which the startle response to an acoustic stimulus (pulse) is decreased or inhibited by a barely audible sound that precedes the pulse (prepulse). This prepulse inhibition (PPI) is indicative of an attentional process - one that is disrupted in schizophrenia. By administering drugs that disrupt PPI in mice, thus mimicking the symptom of schizophrenia, we can study neurochemical mechanisms that act to rescue PPI, as some of the antipsychotic medications do. Although all of my work involves rodent models of psychiatric disorders, my research has broad implications for furthering the understanding of how pharmaceutical agents work to alleviate psychiatric symptoms of mental disorders and, in so doing, shedding light on the biological basis of mental disorders.

As the College's Science Coordinator, I organize the College’s Summer Science Research Program. The Program engages approximately 70 undergraduates students for 9 weeks in full-time primary research activities in physics, math and computer science, chemistry, biology, and psychology. Students are provided a room and a budget for research supplies and travel to regional and national conferences. Funds for the Summer Research Program are solicited from private corporations, trusts, and federal agencies, including the NIH and NSF.

**Selected Publications**


My general research interests are in the area of cognitive development in young children, specifically preschoolers. I have had a longstanding interest in young children's memory, concept development, and problem-solving skills. My current projects focus on the ways in which developmental science offers ideas for benefiting the education of young children, particularly in the area of mathematics. What are the general cognitive processes that underlie early numerical understanding, especially those mathematical competencies that forecast later academic achievement? Why does a good understanding of the number line, for example, or skill with patterns, enhance numerical reasoning? Can certain kinds of intervention activities facilitate early mathematical reasoning and understanding? How can new technological tools like the iPad enhance this kind of learning?

My second area of interest concerns young children's understanding of gender concepts, and in particular, the types of cues they use to make judgments of social status and power. Many studies point to the fact that children develop gender stereotypes as early as age two. For example, they are readily able to identify activities, personality characteristics, and occupations typically associated with males and females. The studies we have conducted so far examine children's judgments about the importance and status associated with gender-typed personality traits, activities, occupations, and conversation styles. We are now interested in uncovering the kinds of verbal and nonverbal cues that children use to make assessments about who has power and status and whether these cues retain their salience in cross-cultural comparisons. These social cognitions may have important implications for children's peer relationships, judgments of self-competence, and successful leadership.

Selected Publications
Stigma is a social phenomenon that pervades time, social context, and culture. Social psychologists and other behavioral scientists have advanced knowledge about how and why stigma can pose deleterious risks for its targets. Yet, the vast majority of this knowledge has focused on stigmas that are visible such as race and gender. My portfolio of research is designed to directly address this important theoretical and empirical gap and to advance the study of concealable stigmatized identities (e.g., mental illness, HIV/AIDS, chronic illness).

In my first line of research, I examine when and why disclosure of concealable stigmatized identities can be beneficial. Specifically, my work in this area examines the role of goals—representing activation of approach or avoidance motivational systems—in affecting the ways in which people communicate with others about concealable stigmas. This work has examined how goals affect communication strategies that people use in their disclosures, such as the amount of information they provide about their identities and whether they choose face-to-face modalities to communicate this sensitive information. Further, this work also examines how goals and their concomitant communication strategies affect the likelihood that disclosure will yield beneficial outcomes for the discloser.

My second line of research investigates the extent to which concealable stigmatized identities pose a unique risk to psychological and physical health. This work focuses on identifying factors related to both the stigmatized person—their expectations and experiences of stigmatization—and their environment—the degree of cultural stigma associated with a given attribute—that impact well-being. Further, this work considers how this constellation of factors contributes to psychological and physical health disparities via pathways such as stress, health behaviors, and physiological changes. In essence, this work asks the questions—How does stigma “get under the skin” to affect health disparities? And, how might these pathways differ if one’s stigmatized attribute is visible vs. concealable?

As a social-health psychologist, I adopt a multidisciplinary approach to studying these issues. I utilize both lab- and field-based studies to investigate these questions among college, clinical, and community samples. Psychology students play a key role in the success of this research. Students contribute to the full range of research activities—from initial hypothesis formation and study design to data analysis, writing, and dissemination of research findings at regional and national conferences—as assistant and lead researchers in CSI Lab.

**Selected Publications**


The human mind is undoubtedly one of the most fascinating products of evolution. It has been studied from many different points of view -- philosophical, computational, psychological, and recently, also biological. It has become clear that collaboration between these approaches is needed to answer the ultimate question “how does the brain give rise to the mind?” This collaboration has resulted in a new field known as cognitive neuroscience. I am a cognitive neuroscientist who studies attention, control and consciousness. All normal people have a strong subjective feeling of intentional or voluntary control of their behavior. Asking people about goals or intention is probably the single most predictive indicator of their behavior. The importance of intentions and goals is illustrated by observations of patients with frontal lesions or mental disorders that cause disruption in either their central control over behavior or the subjective feelings of such control. My research has been directed at understanding the complex functions and intricate mechanism of executive control and consciousness and how the human brain implements them. I have investigated the cognitive operations and neural areas that instantiate control operations, tested limitations in these control operations, and assayed how control processes can be used (or not!) to rehabilitate impaired behavior due to substance abuse, brain damage or psychopathology.

Selected Publications
I am currently pursuing two main lines of research. The first of these, to which I have devoted much of my attention in recent years, is philosophically-oriented work in what has come to be known as "narrative psychology" – which in the present context refers to that portion of psychology which looks toward narratives (e.g., autobiographies, memoirs, life histories) to explore such topics as autobiographical memory, the self, the cultural fashioning of personal experience, and the challenge of formulating ideas regarding “the good life.” Of special importance in this context are those issues that I examine in my book *Hindsight: The Promise and Peril of Looking Backward* (Oxford, 2010). There, and in related works, I am particularly interested in exploring those aspects of experience and selfhood that we can only know in retrospect.

My second area of research interest is quite different. In this work, my main interest is to explore those regions of human experience that take us beyond the self and even, on some level perhaps, beyond “the material world” altogether. Aesthetic and religious experience figures prominently in this line of inquiry as does (so-called) “transcendent” experience (via art, nature, etc.) more generally. While the first area of research focuses largely on the category of the self, this area focuses more on the category of the "Other," i.e., that which exists beyond the perimeter of the self and that draws us outward, into the world. These topics and more are explored in most recent book, *The Priority of the Other: Thinking and Living Beyond the Self*. My next challenge? It’s to bring together my work on narrative and my work on the Other into some semblance of a workable whole. The challenge is large, indeed.

**Selected Publications**
Freeman, M. (2013). Why narrative is here to stay: A return to origins. In M. Hyyräinen, M. Hatavara & L-C. Hydén (Eds.), *The Travelling Concept of Narrative* (pp. 43-61). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
**Professor Andrew Futterman**  
Clinical Psychology and Aging

My research focuses on diagnosis and treatment of mental illness in later life. My most recent research has described the nature of grief and religious involvement in later life, each alone and in relationship to stress, overall functioning, and health.

For some time, I have worked with data from the Stanford/USC Bereavement Study. This has resulted in a series of papers that describe the characteristics of bereavement response among older adults, the relationships between grief, depression, and physical illness, and most recently, the nature of grief itself. In a recent paper, for example, I examine the characteristics of grief as measured by the Texas Inventory of Grief – Revised (TRIG), a standard measure of normal grief. A three-factor structure for the TRIG with Nonacceptance, Thoughts of the loss, and Loss-related emotional distress factors was demonstrated in two samples of older adults – 212 older adults that suffered the loss of a spouse after approximately 40 years of marriage and 162 older adults who suffered many different losses – and at both 2 and 12 months after loss among older widows and widowers. A subsequent paper (Holland et al. 2011) examines the predictive relationships among these three factors from 2 to 12 months after loss and demonstrates that Nonacceptance, in particular, is predictive of subsequent emotional distress and intensity of thoughts. Students and I are just now beginning to look at significant relationships between these three factors of grief and other significant contextual factors, as well as differences in the trajectories for each of these factors after loss.

From 1993-1996 I received funding from the NIH/NIA to study religious involvement and coping with uncontrollable stress in later life. Over several years, approximately 40 students interviewed more than 350 randomly sampled community-dwelling older adults in the Worcester MA., and then interviewed these older adults a second time 12 months later.

Based on this longitudinal data, students and I have presented and published papers focusing on the nature of religious orientation in later life, the relationship between religious orientation and important social and personality characteristics, and health, and changes in religious orientation from middle age to later life. We have augmented these more quantitative interviews recently with over 80 qualitative interviews examining the relationship between faith and doubt in later life.

**Selected Publications**
I am a social psychologist. Social psychology is the study of how people's thoughts and actions are influenced by the presence of others. I am interested in just about any topic that falls within this broad category. However, most of the research that I do falls within two more specific areas: person perception and attribution. These are described in more detail below.

How do we form impressions of other people? This is question of interest for person perception research. I am interested in understanding how accurate are the judgments people make about each other in social interactions. Much of my research on this question focuses on the accuracy of judgments that people make on the basis of brief observations of nonverbal behavior. This research has led me to examine many different types of social judgments, including accuracy in assessing personality traits and detecting when someone is lying. I also am interested in social factors, such as status, that might affect the accuracy of person perception. For example, I am currently working on research that investigates whether people in high and low status roles differ in how accurately they perceive each other in social interaction.

People are continually trying to figure out why things happen. I am very much interested in attribution, the process of how people generate casual explanations for events in their social world. Attribution is an important process because our beliefs about what causes something to occur affect both our perceptions and behavior (for example, we assign less blame to people when we attribute their shortcomings to things they cannot control). I am particularly interested in understanding when and why we assume behavior to be caused by dispositional causes (things like an individual’s personality, beliefs, or talents) or by the demands and constraints of their situation.

I have done research examining cultural differences in attributions, focusing on the question of why people in Western cultures make more dispositional attributions and people and Eastern cultures make more situational attributions. I often analyze the attributions that are made in naturally occurring sources; such as newspaper editorials and sports articles, and corporate annual reports.

I usually have some type of research project ongoing in each of these areas. I would welcome the opportunity to work with students who are interested in doing research in either person perception or attribution. I also, however, would be glad to work with students who have research interests in any topic relating to social psychology.

Selected Publications
My research program examines the role of dysfunctional emotional processes in two related areas of clinical distress: eating disorders and substance use disorders. Previous research has shown that individuals who experience symptoms of eating disorders and substance abuse – even at subclinical levels – exhibit a number of deficits in emotional regulation, including a greater tendency to experience certain negative emotions (e.g., shame), poor coping responses to unpleasant emotional states, and a general lack of emotional awareness.

An underlying theme of my research is the notion that eating disorders and substance abuse may share core emotional deficits. For instance, the inability to tolerate negative affect, particularly anxiety, is known to trigger both binge eating and heavy drinking or drug use. If certain emotional states represent core vulnerabilities across these different psychological disorders, then their areas of overlap may also respond to similar treatment strategies. The goal of my work is therefore to identify these shared emotional mechanisms. This research has many clinical implications, such as (a) early detection of those individuals at most emotional risk of developing an eating or substance use disorder and (b) identification of key emotional targets for clinical intervention.

Recently, I have become particularly interested in gender differences in emotion regulation. For instance, preliminary evidence suggests that emotional mechanisms may differentially explain eating disorder symptoms among women and men. In another line of research, my colleagues and I are investigating motives for caffeine use among young persons. Specifically, I hope to determine whether caffeine might be used partly in order to regulate negative emotional experiences, as has been shown to be the case for other substances.

Psychology students have contributed to my research program in many ways, such as by conducting literature reviews, collecting primary data, performing data analyses, and presenting at local and/or national conferences. Sample topics include the role of emotional clarity in bulimic symptoms and gender differences in the role of emotional expressivity in disordered eating. My research students have volunteered their time, earned academic credit, and/or received summer research fellowships.

**Selected Publications**  *indicates Holy Cross student co-author


I work mainly in the areas of critical and sociocultural psychology. As a critical psychologist, I examine basic, often taken-for-granted assumptions inherent in many psychological theories and practices. I also study how the psychological theories to which we are all exposed influence how we think about ourselves and interact with others. I am particularly interested in how biological and neurological approaches in psychology and psychiatry are affecting how people make sense of their experiences and actions.

As a sociocultural psychologist, I work within traditions of psychological research that treat humans as fundamentally cultural beings, whom we cannot understand completely in terms of biological processes and cannot study solely using experimental methods. Sociocultural psychology treats culture and society not as variables, but as foundational media that give form and meaning to individual experience and social interaction. It also takes into account how its own theories and practices, as well as the individual mental processes that it studies, emerge out of social and cultural contexts and also feed back into those contexts. I am particularly interested in the study of subjectivity. Subjectivity is an analytic category that foregrounds human experience and embodied action, framing them as being at once socioculturally constituted and singular. In both my research and my teaching, I emphasize the importance of qualitative methods, because they are the most appropriate means of studying these sociocultural phenomena.

**Selected Publications**


My area of research is visual perception. I study the process by which we are able to perceive events; that is, objects undergoing some change in space and or time. The research is aimed at discovering the information in a visual scene that underlies an observer’s ability both to perceive the environment and to successfully interact with that environment. My current research focuses on face perception. The perception of faces has been widely studied, including the perception of race, gender, emotions, and personality characteristics. While most of the past research on faces used static images of faces and concentrated on specifying the relevant facial features, many researchers (including me) believe that the perception of objects (e.g. faces) cannot be fully explained without including the role of motion. The information derived from dynamic faces is of crucial importance when we consider how we communicate with others.

It is generally accepted the face-to-face communication provides an information-rich environment from which people are able to extract information about the emotions felt by the other person and also various personality characteristics. Of course, not only do we communicate with others face-to-face, but through other modes, such as written work, telephone, and various electronic media (e.g., email and instant messaging). Interestingly, video conferencing is increasing as another mode of communication.

The degree to which other modes of communication compare with that of face-to-face has been the topic of recent research. Lantz (2001), in comparing face-to-face with chat rooms, concluded that while face-to-face had many advantages, the chat room was seen as more efficient. Mark and Wulf (1999) found similar results in that email was seen as more efficient; however, email reduced social interaction in the workplace. Markey and Wells (2002) assessed people’s ability to judge personality characteristics after engaging in conversations in a chat room. O’Malley, et al (1996) and Doherty-Sneddon, et al (1997) looked at video-conferencing versus face-to-face communication. However, the technology of that time may have influenced some of those results in which video-conferencing was inferior to face-to-face communication.

The work in my lab is extending the research by comparing four modes of communication (most of the other studies looked at face-to-face and one other mode): face-to-face, video-conferencing, audio-conferencing, and instant messaging. In comparing these four modes, we are interested in several issues: 1) the efficiency with which a task can be performed, 2) the perceived social aspects of the interaction, 3) the extent to which personality attributes can be perceived, and 4) the characteristics of the content of the conversations. Understanding the extent to which of these different modes of communication convey the same quality of information as face-to-face interactions is important as our society becomes increasingly more dependent upon electronic modes communication.

In my Sensation and Perception course, the role of motion in object perception is discussed in detail. A broad investigation of the perception of faces takes place in my Face Perception seminar.
Our lab studies what nonhuman subjects (monkeys and pigeons) learn when they don’t have to learn anything. We are particularly interested in this kind of learning, called implicit learning, when it involves lists of stimuli, like A → B → C → D → E, where each letter represents a picture that is presented sequentially on a touch screen. The subject is required to touch the stimulus to advance the list to the next item, but there is nothing in the sense of a correct or incorrect response. We randomly present reinforcement (food) to the subject, more to maintain their performance than to stamp in correct responding. We measure learning after a number of sessions in which the A → E list has been presented by suddenly randomizing the list and measuring whether or not the subjects’ latencies increase.

We study learning in this format for two reasons. First, while learning is usually studied in explicit formats where there is reinforcement for correct responding, it is clear that many important skills like human language are acquired without direct reinforcement for correct performance. Children it is said simply seem to pick up language from repeated exposure to it. We want to see whether nonhuman animals can also learn when learning is technically speaking not demanded by the experimental contingencies. Second, we use the learning of lists to study the extent to which nonhumans can master sequences of stimuli, much in the manner that a child has to master the correct sequences in learning a skill like riding a bicycle or in learning language. Language is a particular interest in this regard. We know that language in its full-blown development is uniquely human, but most comparative psychologists like myself do not believe that the foundations for language, which would include a highly-developed appreciation for learning and performing lists, is uniquely human. Currently we are using pigeons to study implicit list learning. Pigeons and humans last shared an ancestor approximately 250 million years ago. By studying pigeons we are asking how sophisticated implicit list learning might have been that long ago.

To date we have found that both monkeys and pigeons are able to learn lists implicitly with a high degree of precision. Our work on this topic has been published in the sources listed below:

**Selected Publications**


* denotes student

Our work is also supported by a three-year grant from the National Institutes of Health (C. Locurto, Principal Investigator): 1 R15 RR031220-01A1
My research focuses on adolescent development and the influence of the multiple contexts of school, family, peers, and communities on the promotion of adolescents’ educational resilience and healthy development. My research with adolescents in urban and rural public secondary schools has included focus groups with high school students examining their views about caffeine use; survey studies examining civic activities among rural adolescents and role models among African-American adolescents from an urban environment; as well as an in depth examination of the links between achievement motivation and substance use over time. In general, my research focuses on positive youth development; my current interests are in understanding the etiology of caffeine use among adolescents. Some of the themes across my various interests relate to better understanding how achievement and substance use behaviors in school and out of school are connected and how various contexts can support and promote positive youth development.

I have ongoing projects related to

- expectations and reasons associated with adolescents’ caffeine use;
- contexts of development among adolescents in rural communities; and
- adolescents’ engagement in civic activities in schools, with their families, and in community settings.

Psychology students have been involved with moderating focus groups with students in local high schools, designing and administering surveys to adolescents, and analyzing survey data from my existing studies of rural and urban adolescents. Student projects have focused on adolescents’ reasons for caffeine use, links between extracurricular activities and substance use, adolescents’ religiosity and spirituality, and ethnic and gender differences in motivation and achievement. In addition, a number of students have collected their own survey data from adolescents in local schools, on topics from religiosity to eating behaviors.

**Selected Publications** (Current or former students at the College of the Holy Cross are in italics.)


I investigate how people coordinate their bodily movements when they interact socially. The aim of the research is to be able to mathematically model the structure of the interpersonal bodily coordination in social interactions such as collision avoidance (like when you are walking down the hall and you and the person coming the other way have to avoid one another), competitive sports activities (like tennis) and in conversation tasks (when you are telling your roommate about your day). Past research has found that movements in social interactions are unintentionally synchronized and dance-like. That is, there is an implicit "dance" that underlies our interactions others although we are not aware of it. The goal of my research is to capture these 'dances' in mathematical models. From these we hope to use these models to program artificial agents such as robots and avatars so that they can interact with humans naturally. Relatively, I am also investigating how this social motor “dance” breakdowns in mental pathologies such as autism and schizophrenia. It is our hope that the mathematical understanding of the dynamics of these dances will help to not only understand the social interaction problems of these populations but also be used to create more effective therapies to facilitate remediation.

Selected Publications