

PATERNAL EFFECTS ON OFFSPRING QUALITY IN *CAMPsis RADICANS*

ROBERT I. BERTIN AND PAUL J. PETERS

Department of Biology, College of the Holy Cross, Worcester, Massachusetts 01610

Submitted January 9, 1991; Revised July 26, 1991; Accepted September 24, 1991

Abstract.—We investigated paternal effects on seedling performance in relation to patterns of fruit abortion in *Campsis radicans* using a series of 14 experiments with various combinations of pollen donors and recipients. In eight experiments significant paternal effects on three or four dependent performance variables were detected by multivariate ANCOVA. These effects were independent of seed weight, which was controlled in the experimental design and in the statistical analysis. In six of the eight experiments with significant results the favored pollen recipient sired the more vigorous offspring. In general, therefore, patterns of fruit abortion with respect to outcross donor enhance progeny fitness. Maternal discrimination is reduced, however, when pollen from several outcross donors is deposited on the same stigma, a likely occurrence in nature. Discrimination among pollen from different outcross donors is also less important than the ability to discriminate between self- and cross-pollen because the latter distinction has much larger effects on offspring performance. This is one of the first studies to show a relationship between patterns of maternal choice among outcross pollen donors and quality of resultant offspring.

Female and hermaphroditic plants and animals are commonly exposed to multiple mates and/or pollen or sperm from multiple donors. If potential mates or their gametes differ in ways that affect offspring fitness, then selective mating, differential use of pollen or sperm, or preferential maturation of certain zygotes will be adaptive. Maternal selectivity at one or more of these levels occurs in both animals (Downhower and Brown 1980; Thornhill 1983) and plants (Bookman 1984; Bertin 1985; Marshall and Ellstrand 1986). For females to derive any fitness benefits from such selectivity, the progeny sired by the favored mate, sperm, or pollen must be superior to those sired by others. Paternity can affect offspring performance in animals (Howard 1978; Woodward 1986) and plants (Marshall and Ellstrand 1986; Schmitt and Antonovics 1986; Marshall and Whittaker 1989). A critical need is to determine whether maternal choice favors mates that sire the best offspring.

Low fruit-to-flower ratios and abortion of healthy fruits are common among angiosperms, especially outcrossing species in which fruit and seed production is limited by nutrients rather than by the availability of pollen (Stephenson 1981; Sutherland and Delph 1984; Lee 1988). Plants often abort fruits selectively on the basis of seed number or size of the pollen load (Jennings and Topham 1971; Stephenson 1981; Lee and Bazzaz 1982; McDade and Davidar 1984; Lee and

Hartgerink 1986; Lee 1988 and references therein). Many species also abort fruits preferentially from flowers receiving self- rather than cross-pollen (Waller 1979; Aker and Udovic 1981; Lee 1988 and references therein). In a few cases, different non-self-donors, or the number of pollen donors whose pollen is represented on a stigma, have been shown to provide a basis for differential fruit abortion (Murneek 1933; Bertin 1982b; Bookman 1984; Schemske and Pautler 1984; Vander Kloet and Tosh 1984; Marshall and Ellstrand 1986).

Whenever a plant aborts some fraction of its fruit crop, it will be to that plant's advantage to abort fruits selectively to enhance the quality of remaining fruits and seeds. Selective abortion of fruits with few seeds is well-known (Akamine and Girolami 1959; Bertin 1982a; Snow 1982; McDade and Davidar 1984). Such a pattern of abortion can be beneficial to the maternal parent simply because the ratio of pericarp to seed may decline in many-seeded fruits (Mitchell 1977; Lee and Bazzaz 1982; Herrera 1984). Abortion of few-seeded fruits could also enhance offspring quality if many-seeded fruits tended to result from heavy pollinations, which would cause intense gametophytic competition and improve offspring vigor (Lee 1984; Winsor et al. 1987).

Enhanced maternal fitness could also result from differential abortion with respect to paternity if offspring sired by different pollen donors differed in average fitness. Several studies have demonstrated paternal effects on offspring attributes such as seed size and offspring performance (Bertin 1982b; Marshall and Ellstrand 1986; Mazer et al. 1986; Schmitt and Antonovics 1986; Marshall and Whittaker 1989; Nakamura and Stanton 1989). Where present, such paternal effects are typically much weaker than maternal effects on the same variables (Schemske and Pautler 1984; Mazer et al. 1986; Mazer 1987; Marshall 1988).

Few studies have sought possible relationships between fruit abortion and paternal effects on offspring quality. It has been shown (Bertin 1982b) that selective fruit abortion with respect to paternity in *Campsis radicans* favored pollen donors siring seeds that were heavier and more likely to germinate than those sired by the nonfavored donors. In *Raphanus sativus*, fruits sired by one pollen donor produced heavier seedlings than those sired by two other donors, and fruits and seeds sired by the favored donor had a lower likelihood of abortion than fruits and seeds sired by one of the two less favored donors (Marshall 1988; Marshall and Whittaker 1989). Overall, however, the evidence that selective fruit abortion according to pollen donor acts to increase progeny vigor is weak.

Our purpose in this study was to determine the effect of male parentage on offspring growth in *C. radicans*, using combinations of pollen donors and recipients with known patterns of fruit abortion. Our intent was to determine whether fruit abortion can enhance the genetic quality of offspring.

METHODS AND MATERIAL

Campsis radicans (L.) Seem. (Bignoniaceae) is a perennial woody climber with large orange flowers visited by hummingbirds and bees. Fruits matured from 1% to 9% of flowers at four sites in Illinois and Missouri, and most aborted ovaries and fruits showed no evidence of damage (Bertin 1982a). Fruit production is

Good donors spread more seeds in single-donor pollinations than did poor donors (table 1). Likewise, good donors in mixed-pollination systems spread more than 50% of seeds in all fruits but one. In neither set of pollinations was there a consistent effect of donor quality on seed weight. Among single-donor pollinations seeds spread by the good donor were significantly heavier than those spread by the poor donor in two cases, the reverse was true in two cases, and there was no significant difference in two cases. In the mixed-donor experiments, donor had no significant effect on seed weight.

RESULTS

The data from each fruit constituted one experiment, and each experiment was replicated by a multivariate ANCOVA for the four dependent variables: shoot height, number of leaf pairs, shoot weight, and root weight. The treatment was pollen donor, and seed weight was the covariate.

691

For the single-donor pollinations we assembled all sound fruits sired by poor donors and good donors. In total we used six combinations of donors and recipients. For each donor-recipient combination, we randomly selected equal numbers of seeds from each of the poor fruits so as to produce a total sample of 300–400 seeds. An equal number of seeds was counted from a similar number of randomly selected fruits sired by the good donor. Each seed was weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg, and half-sib seeds from the two treatments were paired by weight. Any that could not be so paired were discarded. Seeds were planted in opposite corners of 8-cm square pots containing 260 mL of Metromix 350 under 150 mL of vermiculite. We placed all plants in a glasshouse, watering and fertilizing them as needed. We recorded date of emergence of each seedling and harvesting them as needed. All plants from a given donor-receptor pair were harvested at the same date, but different growth periods were used in different experiments to facilitate scheduling. At harvest the roots were used in different experiments to facilitate scheduling. At harvest the roots were used to count the number of leaf pairs greater than 1 cm in length, and shoot length at 10 wk in addition to recording data at harvest.

We determined the patterns of fruit abortion with respect to paternity in 1982 and 1983. We bagged inflorescences on several plants, hand-pollinated flowers using pollen from marked donor individuals, and scored percentage fruit production for each donor-receptacle combination (see Bierlin 1985 for methods). To produce the seeds for the current experiment we made further hand pollinations on seven of the same plants in 1984 and 1986, using pollen from both good and poor donors. (In this article good donors are defined as those sitting at least three times as many fruits on a given receptacle as a poor donor, from the same number of single-donor pollinations.) These pollinations were of two types. On five recipients as many fruits as a given receptacle good flowers were mixed pollen from good and poor donors. On two other plants we mixed pollen from equal numbers of anthers from good and poor donors and applied these mixtures to stigmas. These mixed pollinations used only pairs of donors that were homozygous for different alleles at the *gph*-locus and resulted in offspring that were also homozygous for this locus. The paternity of all progeny could thus be assigned to one allele at this locus.

Highly monandromous individuals with respect to paternity (Bertram 1982b, 1985). Individuals are self-stelite following pure cell-pollinations, but appreciable selfed seed is produced when self- and cross-pollen are mixed (Bertram and Sullivan 1988). The mechanism of self-sterility appears to involve either late-acting self-incompatibility or inbreeding depression (Bertram et al. 1989).

THE AMERICAN NATURALIST

TABLE I

DONORS IN SINGLE-DONOR POLLINATIONS

DONORS IN SINGLE-DONOR POLLINATIONS

NOTE.— N denotes numbers of turns examined. The values and P are from t -tests comparing sec'd numbers in the two

TABLE 2

TABLE 2

Effects of Site on Seedling Performance in Paired-Seedling Experiments									
Recipient	Growth Period	Good Donor	Poor Donor	N	Dependent Variables	TSG	dL	p	Significant Effects
5 (9, 8)	503.7 (6)	303.0 (5)	4.10	.015					
22 (8, 3)	903.0 (5)	696.2 (4)	6.97	.002					
41 (3, 9)	751.3 (3)	680.0 (1)	9.91	NS					
42 (3, 10)	833.0 (2)	600.0 (2)	19.35	.033					
41 (3, 10)	751.3 (3)	692.3 (3)	2.51	NS					
42 (3, 10)	833.0 (2)	616.3 (3)	491.8 (4)	4.46	.021				
43	80	3	8	89	H, L, S, R	12.30	4.84	0*	G, H, L
42	79	3	10	11	H, L, S, R	11.20	4.41	.04	P, S
41	75	3	10	83	H, L, S	5.88	3.79	0*	G, H
41	112	3	9	83	H, L, S	6.64	2.80	0*	G, H
22	70	3	9	100	H, L, S, R	10.19	4.93	0*	H, L, S, R
5	98	8	3	17	H, L, S, R	18.3	4.12	.53	

EFFECTS OF SIRE ON SEEDLING PERFORMANCE IN PAIRED-SEEDLING EXPERIMENTS

NOTE - H. Stem height; L, number of leaf pairs; S, shoot weight; k, root weight; 12C, hemicellulose lignin content. 7, from multivariate ANCOVAs with repeated measures. Asterisks denote results showing significant differences according to the sequential Bonferroni technique. Column headed "Best" denotes the donor (G, good; P, poor) that side the more vigorous growth. Parameters of spine length, width and height are those for which donor treatment is significant in univariate ANCOVAs.

Note.—Abbreviations are as in table 2. All fruits are from two recipients, 1 or 8, denoted by the first digit of the fruit number. N , Number of seeds shed by each donor, with the good donor listed first, "+", in competition column indicates presence of at least one competitor. For each significant difference, seedlings shed by good donors outperform those shed by poor donors.

The poor donor. One of the remaining fruits showed a difference approaching significance (-8.1 , $P = .07$). For 15 of the 20 dependent variables among the fruits showing no significant effects (five fruits times four dependent variables), the poor donor's performance was greater among ceddlings than good donors (table 5). If the equal initial Bonferroni technique is applied, none of the above differences exhibits tablewide significance.

TABLE A

EFFECTS OF SIRE ON SEEDLING PERFORMANCE IN SINGLE-SEEDLING EXPERIMENTS

TABLE 4						
RECIPIENT	HEIGHT (cm)					Difference (%)
	Good Donor	Poor Donor	Good	Poor		
22	8	9	27.4	27.6	- .7	
41	3	3	28.7	20.8	38.0+	
41	3	9	15.5	13.6	14.0+	
41	3	9	17.9	15.2	17.8+	
42	3	10	7.3	8.4	- 13.1	
43	3	10	37.5	27.5	36.4+	

TABLE 3

ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS

TABLE 5

THE AMERICAN NATURALIST

and that might tend to conceal the paternal effects demonstrated here. Furthermore, as noted by Mazcer et al. (1986), the presence of strong maternal effects and/or male-by-female interactions would tend to reduce the intensity of selection on paternal traits. It should also be noted, however, that differences among individuals that are minor or nonexistent in a glasshouse may be more important under conditions of greater stress (Marshall 1988; Marshall and Whitham 1989).

Selective Abortion and Paternity

Among the eight performance traits significant donor effects on offspring performance were observed for all traits except seed weight. The first two traits, seed weight and seedling root length, were negatively correlated with all other traits. The third trait, seedling height, was positively correlated with all other traits except seedling root length. The fourth trait, seedling leaf area, was positively correlated with all other traits except seedling root length and seedling height. The fifth trait, seedling stem length, was negatively correlated with all other traits except seedling root length. The sixth trait, seedling stem diameter, was positively correlated with all other traits except seedling root length. The seventh trait, seedling leaf number, was positively correlated with all other traits except seedling root length. The eighth trait, seedling leaf area ratio, was negatively correlated with all other traits except seedling root length.

Effects of Paternity

independent variables for each of the eight fruits, all but one of the 32 seed weight covariates were positive, 12 significantly so, which indicates a positive effect of seed weight on seedling performance.

Fruit NUMBER	Height (cm)	DIFFERENCE (%)	Good	Poor	Number of differences
1-3-2	18.0*	11.1	13.1	11.1	1
1-3-3	16.2	6.2	7.5	7.7	2
8-1-1	12.6	-2.6	7.0	6.2	1
8-1-5	12.9	12.9	7.5	6.5	1
8-2-5	15.4	15.4	21.7	17.4	2
8-3-8	10.2	10.2	36.6	33.2	1
8-5-3	54.9*	54.9*	7.9	5.1	1

AVERAGE HEIGHTS OF SEEDLINGS SIZED BY GOOD AND POOR DONORS IN SINGLE-SEEDLING EXPERIMENTS

Mateernal effects are often considered variable (Slaterton 1984a; Schlichting and Devilm 1989). Performance effects on the same variables sometimes appear to be absent (Bookman 1984; Schlichting and Devilm 1989) and where they occur are usually weaker than maternal effects (Schlichting and Devilm 1989) and where they occur are usually weaker than paternal effects on the same variables (Slaterton 1984; Schlichting and Devilm 1989). Mateernal effects on offspring characteristics such as seed size and seedling performance were detected in 8 of 14 experiments (4 of 14 with the Bonferroni correction) and a source of variation that would be present under natural conditions. By using controlled environmental conditions during seedling growth we eliminated a source of variation that would be present under natural conditions. Under the conditions used in this study, paternal effects on seedling performance were detected in 8 of 14 experiments (4 of 14 with the Bonferroni correction) and a source of variation that would be present under natural conditions.

Effects of Paternity

Several hypotheses have been proposed to account for low fruit-to-flower ratios in plants whose reproduction is not limited by pollen availability. These include (1) pollinator attraction, (2) bet hedging, or the provision of sufficient ovaries to allow maximal fruit production if resources are plentiful, (3) pollen donation, or a primary male function for the nonfertilizing flowers, and (4) selective fruit abortion to enhance offspring quality (Willison and Ratcliffe 1974; Stipechson 1980; Queller 1983; Sutherland and Delph 1984). While a demonstration that selective fruit abortion enhances offspring quality is a necessary condition for hypothesis 4, it is not a sufficient condition. The advantages of selective fruit abortion might not be overcome by the costs of producing "extra" flowers.

If seems unlikely that the ability to discriminate seeds from pollen from different cross-pollinators has been the major impetus for the evolution of selective fruit abortion in *Campspsis*. First, patterns of abortion for two maternal seedlings (41, 42) sometimes favored pollen donors that gave rise to less vigorous plants (41, 42). Second, most natural differences in seedling quality between pollen donors for whom maternal pollen is a resource rather than a waste product are small (Bertram 1990).

In several other cases pollen donors that gave rise to less vigorous seedlings, in general, did not differ greatly in seedling quality. Second, most natural differences in seedling quality between pollen donors for whom maternal pollen is a resource rather than a waste product are small (Bertram 1990).

It seems likely that the ability to discriminate seeds from pollen from different cross-pollinators is the result of selection pressure from other species that have appeared for other reasons.

That hypothesis 1-3 are subsequent to the evolution of low fruit-to-flower ratios by themselves is not necessarily true. The selective forces that led to the evolution of low fruit-to-flower ratios in *Campspsis* are not known. Patterns of seed abortion in *Campspsis* are generally similar to those described by Bertram et al. (1988), but they differ in one important respect. In *Campspsis* pollen from both male and female donors is rejected at a higher rate than pollen from the same donor. This pattern of self-pollination is not observed in *Campspsis* (Bertram and Sullivan 1988; Bertram et al. 1989). It seems likely that pollen from both male and female donors is rejected at a higher rate than pollen from the same donor because pollen from the same donor is more likely to be incompatible with the ovule (Bertram 1990).

The pattern of self-pollination in *Campspsis* is similar to that described by Bertram et al. (1988), but it is not identical. In *Campspsis*, pollen from both male and female donors is rejected at a higher rate than pollen from the same donor. This pattern of self-pollination is not observed in *Campspsis* (Bertram and Sullivan 1988; Bertram et al. 1989). It seems likely that pollen from both male and female donors is rejected at a higher rate than pollen from the same donor because pollen from the same donor is more likely to be incompatible with the ovule (Bertram 1990).

We thank P. L. Angermeier, M. N. Melampy, and L. Wolfe for assistance with fieldwork and M. F. Wilson for accommodations and laboratory space in Illinois. Thanks to D. L. Marshall, T. R. Megaher, A. G. Slepchenson, and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on earlier versions of the manuscript. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation (grant DEB 8206465) and by two Bachelor (Ford) summer fellowships to R.J.B.

LITERATURE CITED

Aker, C. K., and D. D. Davic. 1981. Oviposition and hatching behavior of the yucca moth, *Tegeticula maculata* (Lepidoptera: Prodoxidae), and its relationship to the reproductive biology of *Yucca whipplei* (Arecaceae). *Oecologia (Berlin)* 49:96-101.

Bullock, E. K., and G. Grimaldi. 1959. Pollination and fruit set in the yellow passion fruit. *Techmical Bulletin of the Hawaiian Experiment Station* 39.

Makamie, E. K., and G. Grimaldi. 1981. Pollination and fruit set in the yellow passion fruit. *Techmical Bulletin of the Hawaiian Experiment Station* 39.

Wacker, C. K., and D. D. Davic. 1981. Oviposition and hatching behavior of the yucca moth, *Tegeticula maculata* (Lepidoptera: Prodoxidae), and its relationship to the reproductive biology of *Yucca whipplei* (Arecaceae). *Oecologia (Berlin)* 49:96-101.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Several articles have suggested that fruit abortion is terminal choice may lead to sexual selection (Stephens and Burley 1983). Although our results are consistent with this, it would have to be fairly consistent among pollen grains for maternal condition to have a clear discrimination of paternal and maternal effects. Plants that exhibit patterns of selective fruit abortion, although we have not yet performed the kind of selection experiment in *Campsisi* is dependent on the pattern of seed set. Seeds that are set during pollination, it would have to be fairly consistent among pollen grains for maternal condition to have a clear discrimination of paternal and maternal effects. Plants that exhibit patterns of selective fruit abortion, although this seems unlikely. Second, for maternal condition of seeds to be fairly consistent among pollen grains, it would have to be fairly consistent among males as a cause for the variation in terminal nutrient availability. Environmental factors as a cause for the variation in terminal nutrient availability among males is redundant. I demonstrate an example of two additional factors is required. I show that the relationship between seed set and seed abortion is non-linear. The relationship between seed set and seed abortion is non-linear. The relationship between seed set and seed abortion is non-linear. The relationship between seed set and seed abortion is non-linear.

A Potential Mechanism

more vigorous seedlings than seeds from fruits wherein seeds were aborted by hand (Rocha and Steffensen 1991), and the same may be true in *Cyperantha glauva* (Casper 1988).

- Mader, S., 1990. Paternal effects on seed size in a population of *Crepis heterophylla* (Asteraceae). *Oikos* 59:3-8.
- Berlin, R., 1982a. Filarial biology, hummingbird pollination and fruit production of trumpet creeper (*Campsis radicans*). *American Naturalist* 126:750-759.
- , 1983. Paternity and fruit production in trumpet creeper (*Campsis radicans*). *American Naturalist* 126:122-134.
- , 1985. Nonrandom fruit production in *Campsis radicans*: between-year consistency and effects of prior pollination. *American Naturalist* 126:750-759.
- Berlin, R., J. C. Barnes, and S. L. Silivani, 1988. Pollen interference and cryptic self-fertilization in *Campsis radicans*. *Naturalist* 124:153-163.
- Berlin, R., J., and M. Silivani, 1988. Evidence for selective fruit production in *Cryptantha flavia*. *American Naturalist* 132:318-326.
- Dowhower, J. F., and L. Brown, 1980. Male preferences of female motiled sculpins, *Cottus bairdi*. *Animal Behavior* 28:728-734.
- Hellman, E., W., and J. N. Moore, 1983. Effect of genetic relationship to pollinizer on fruit, seed, and seedling parameters in hibiscus and raspberry blueberries. *Journal of Heredity* 74:401-405.
- Herrera, C. M., 1984. Selective pressures on fruit seediness: differential predation of fly larvae on the seeds of different plant species. *Ecology* 59:789-798.
- Howard, R. D., 1978. The influence of male-defended oviposition sites on early embryo mortality in *Raphanus sativus*. *Evolution* 44:260-268.
- Jennings, D., L., and P. B. Tephra, 1971. Some consequences of raspberry pollen dilution for its germination and for fruit development. *New Phytologist* 70:371-380.
- Karton, J. D., and D. L. Marshall, 1990. Some consequences of multiple paternity in wild radish, *Raphanus sativus*. *Evolution* 44:273-282.
- Lees, T. D., and F. A. Bazzaz, 1982. Regulation of fruit maturation hypothesis. *Oxford University Press*, New York.
- , 1988. Patterns of fruit and seed production: a gamete competition hypothesis. *American Naturalist* 123:427-432.
- Lee, T. D., and A. P. Hargreaves, 1986. Pollination intensity, fruit maturation pattern, and offspring quality in *Cassia fistula* (Leguminosae). *Pages* 417-422 in D. L. Marshall, D. L., and N. C. Ellstrand, eds. *Biochemicals and ecology of pollen*. Springer, New York.
- Lyon, E., E. N. M. Water, M. V. Price, J. Antonovics, and A. Motte, 1989. Sources of variation in plant reproductive effort in wild radish. *Evolution* 42:1256-1266.
- Marshall, D. L., 1988. Postpollination effects on seed paternity: mechanisms in addition to microgametogenesis. *American Naturalist* 134:409-433.
- Marshall, D. L., and K. L. Whittaker, 1989. Effects of pollen donor identity on offspring quality in wild radish, *Raphanus sativus*. *American Journal of Botany* 76:1081-1088.
- Marschall, D. L., 1982. Patterns of pollen choice in *Raphanus sativus*: data on nonrandom fertilization, maternal choice, and consequences of multiple paternity. *American Naturalist* 127:145-145.
- Marschall, D. L., and N. C. Ellstrand, 1986. Sexual selection in *Raphanus sativus*: effects of pollen quality in data on nonrandom fertilization, maternal choice, and consequences of multiple paternity. *American Naturalist* 127:145-145.
- Waser, N. M., and M. V. Price, 1989. Optimal outcrossing in plants. *Princeton University Press*, Princeton.
- Wilson, M. F., and B. J. Blalock, 1983. Mate choice in plants. *Princeton University Press*, Princeton.
- Willson, M. F., and N. B. Brey, 1983. Adaptive design of floral display in *Asclepias syriaca*. *American Midland Naturalist* 92:47-57.
- Waser, N. M., and M. V. Price, 1987. Female mate choice in a paternal effect. *American Naturalist* 130:129-133.
- Waser, N. M., and M. V. Price, 1989. Optimal outcrossing in plants. *Princeton University Press*, Princeton.
- Waser, N. M., and M. V. Price, 1990. Female mate choice in a paternal effect. *American Naturalist* 135:320-320.
- Weller, D., 1979. The relative costs of self- and cross-fertilized seeds in *Impatiens capensis* (Balsaminaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 66:131-139.
- Weller, D., 1983. Cryptic female choice in the scorpionfly *Hydropsyche naupactica*. *American Naturalist* 112:23-29.
- Thomashii, R., 1983. Cryptic female choice in the scorpionfly *Hydropsyche naupactica*. *American Naturalist* 112:72-76-78.
- Vander Kloet, S. P., and D. Tosh, 1984. Effects of pollen donors on seed production, seed weight, germination, and seedling vigor in *Vaccinium corymbosum* L. *American Midland Naturalist* 112:149-151.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1986. *Loats corniculatus* regulates offspring quality through plants. *Pages* 109-149 in L. Beck, ed. *Pollinator biology*. Academic Press, New York.
- Stephenson, A. G., and B. L. Berrin, 1983. Male competition, female choice, and sexual selection in *Eccoptys* and *Systenocitellus* 12:23-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and B. L. Berrin, 1984. On the importance of male fitness in plants: patterns of fruit set, self-pollination, and seed set. *Ecology* 65:103-110.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1986. *Loats corniculatus* regulates offspring quality through plants. *Pages* 109-149 in L. Beck, ed. *Pollinator biology*. Academic Press, New York.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1987. Male competition, female choice, and sexual selection in *Eccoptys* and *Systenocitellus* 12:23-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1988. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 19:149-170.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1989. Male competition, female choice, and sexual selection in *Eccoptys* and *Systenocitellus* 12:23-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1990. The importance of male fitness in plants: patterns of fruit set, self-pollination, and seed set. *Ecology* 71:1090-1098.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1991. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 22:1-27.
- Snow, A., 1982. Developmental and genetic sources of seed weight variation in *Raphanus sativus*. *Stemmer, M. L.*, 1984. Developmental and genetic sources of seed weight variation in *Raphanus sativus*. *55:23-27*.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1984. Developmental and genetic sources of seed weight variation in *Raphanus sativus*. *Stemmer, M. L.*, 1984. Developmental and genetic sources of seed weight variation in *Raphanus sativus*. *55:23-27*.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1985. Maternal and paternal effects during seedling establishment. *Stemmer, M. L.*, 1984. Developmental and genetic sources of seed weight variation in *Raphanus sativus*. *55:23-27*.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1986. Experimantal studies of the evolutionary significance of sexual reproduction. *III. Maternal and paternal effects during seedling establishment*. *Evolution* 39:817-829.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1987. The effect of seed size on components of seedling fitness. *Evolution* 41:1105-1112.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1988. *Fruit set, heritability, fruit reduction, and the fruiting strategy of *Carica papaya**. *Evolution* 42:157-164.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1989. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 20:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1990. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 21:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1991. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 22:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1992. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 23:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1993. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 24:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1994. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 25:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1995. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 26:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1996. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 27:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1997. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 28:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1998. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 29:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 1999. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 30:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2000. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 31:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2001. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 32:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2002. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 33:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2003. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 34:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2004. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 35:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2005. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 36:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2006. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 37:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2007. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 38:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2008. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 39:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2009. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 40:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2010. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 41:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2011. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 42:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2012. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 43:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2013. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 44:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2014. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 45:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2015. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 46:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2016. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 47:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2017. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 48:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2018. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 49:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2019. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 50:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2020. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 51:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2021. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 52:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2022. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 53:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2023. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 54:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2024. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 55:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2025. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 56:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2026. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 57:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2027. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 58:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2028. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 59:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2029. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 60:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2030. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 61:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2031. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 62:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2032. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 63:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2033. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 64:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2034. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 65:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2035. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 66:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2036. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 67:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2037. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 68:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2038. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 69:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2039. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 70:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2040. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 71:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2041. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 72:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2042. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 73:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2043. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 74:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2044. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 75:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2045. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 76:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2046. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 77:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2047. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 78:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2048. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 79:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2049. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 80:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2050. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 81:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2051. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 82:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2052. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 83:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2053. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 84:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2054. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 85:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2055. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 86:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2056. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 87:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2057. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 88:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2058. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 89:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2059. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 90:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2060. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 91:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2061. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 92:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2062. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 93:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2063. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 94:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2064. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 95:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2065. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 96:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2066. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 97:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2067. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 98:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2068. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 99:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2069. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 100:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2070. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 101:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2071. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 102:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2072. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 103:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2073. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 104:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2074. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 105:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2075. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 106:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2076. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 107:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2077. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 108:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2078. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 109:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2079. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 110:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2080. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 111:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2081. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 112:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2082. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 113:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2083. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 114:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2084. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 115:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2085. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 116:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2086. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 117:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2087. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 118:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2088. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 119:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2089. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 120:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2090. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 121:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2091. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 122:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2092. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 123:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2093. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 124:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2094. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 125:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2095. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 126:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2096. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 127:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2097. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 128:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2098. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 129:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2099. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 130:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2100. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 131:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2101. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 132:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2102. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 133:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2103. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 134:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2104. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 135:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2105. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 136:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2106. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 137:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2107. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 138:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2108. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 139:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2109. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 140:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2110. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 141:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2111. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 142:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2112. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 143:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2113. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 144:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2114. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 145:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2115. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 146:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2116. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 147:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2117. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 148:1-27.
- Stephenson, A. G., and J. A. Wimsatt, 2118. *Loats corniculatus* causes and ultimate functions. *Annual*

- Winsor, J. A., L. E. Davis, and A. G. Sieghenosen. 1987. The relationship between pollen load and fruit maturation and the effect of pollen load on offspring vigor in *Cucurbita pepo*. American Naturalist 129:643-656.
- Woodward, B. 1982. Sexual selection and nonrandom mating patterns in desert annuals (*Bystropogon woodii*). Naturalist 129:643-656.
- . 1986. Paternal effects on juvenile growth in *Scapulopeltis multiplicatus* (the New Mexico spade-horned). American Naturalist 128:58-65.

Associate Editor: Thomas R. Meagher