Pitch discrimination in the early blind

People blinded in infancy have sharper listening skills than those who lost their sight later.

**D**o blind people develop superior abilities in auditory perception to compensate for their lack of vision? They are known to be better than sighted people at orientating themselves by sound, but it is not clear whether this enhanced awareness extends to other auditory domains, such as listening to music or to voices. Here we show that blind people are better than sighted controls at judging the direction of pitch change between sounds, even when the speed of change is ten times faster than that perceived by the controls — but only if they became blind at an early age. The younger the onset of blindness, the better is the performance, which is in line with cerebral plasticity being optimal during the early years.

Auditory spatial localization is enhanced in early-blind subjects1–3, but the effect of blindness on performance in the non-spatial auditory domain is less clear: blind subjects seem to be better at some tasks, such as the perception of chords, than others4. Age at the onset of blindness, which could be an important factor, has not generally been considered.

We compared the performance of a group of early-blind subjects (n=7; ages, 21–40 years; onset of blindness, 0–2 years after birth), a group of late-blind subjects (n=7; ages, 24–46; onset of blindness, 5–45 years) and a group of sighted controls (n=12; ages, 21–37) in judging the direction of pitch change (for details, see supplementary information). In the task, which is based on psychophysical testing of sighted individuals5, subjects hear two pure tones of different frequencies at each trial and have to decide whether the pitch is rising (second sound with higher pitch) or falling. In the reference condition (ST in Fig. 1), the pitch difference was one-eighth of an octave (1.5 semitones, or 150 cents) and the duration of each tone was 333 milliseconds (Fig. 1a).

Task difficulty was parametrically manipulated in both the temporal and spectral domains, either by successively dividing tone duration by two (temporal series; T6, 167 ms, up to T48, 20.8 ms) or by dividing the frequency spacing between the tones by two (spectral series; S16, 1/16 of an octave, up to S128, 1/128 of an octave or 4.7 cents). Eight different tone pairs (one rising and one falling, at each of four different frequencies; frequency range, 500–1,000 hertz) were used for each difficulty level. Subjects heard stimuli through headphones binaurally and reported the pitch-change direction by pressing a key.

For all groups, performance was best in the reference condition (ST) and was significantly reduced when either the tone duration (Fig. 1b; 'T' bars) or the frequency difference between the tones (Fig. 1b; 'S' bars) was decreased (P<0.001). Early-blind subjects showed significantly better overall performance than either the late-blind or sighted subjects (Fig. 1b; main effect, P=0.008; post hoc Tukey: P=0.009 and P=0.026, respectively). The results followed this pattern for both the temporal (P=0.011) and the spectral (P=0.011) parts of the data set. Performance by the early-blind group for the most rapid condition (T48) was equivalent to that of sighted subjects in the easiest condition (ST), which comprised durations that were greater by an order of magnitude. No significant difference could be detected between late-blind and sighted subjects (P=0.67).

There was a significant negative correlation between age of blindness onset and overall performance (partial correlation r=−0.65, P=0.012) across the entire group of blind subjects, this relation held even after accounting for the duration of blindness

\[
 r = -0.49, P = 0.045, \text{one-tailed}.
\]

This finding held for both the spectral (Fig. 1c, right; r=−0.65, P=0.011) and the temporal (Fig. 1c, left; r=−0.60, P=0.024) parts of the data set. Early-blind subjects were better than both late-blind and sighted subjects at determining the direction of pitch change, for different temporal as well as spectral levels. We conclude that compensatory auditory mechanisms following visual deprivation must extend beyond the spatial domain. Our findings that a large part of the variance (42%) could be accounted for by the age of blindness onset may explain why conflicting results are found when early- and late-blind subjects are pooled together. Moreover, it is in agreement with the idea that cerebral plasticity is more efficient at early developmental stages.

**Fisheries**

Mislabelling of a depleted reef fish

A ny fish species that appears to be readily available in the marketplace will create an impression among the public that there is a plentiful supply of that fish in the sea, but this may belie the true state of the fisheries' stock. Here we use molecular genetic analysis to show that some three-quarters of the fish sold in the United States as 'red snapper' — the US Food and Drug Administration's legally designated common name for *Lutjanus campechanus* — belong to another species. Mislabelling to this extent not only
brief communications

defrauds consumers but could also adversely affect estimates of stock size if it influences the reporting of catch data that are used in fisheries management.

The red snapper, or *L. campechanus*, is found in offshore waters around coral reefs and rocky outcroppings and is one of the most economically important fisheries in the Gulf of Mexico, with greater total landings and commanding higher prices than any other snapper species. In 1996, the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council and the United States Department of Commerce declared that *L. campechanus* was grossly overfished and called for strict management measures to restore stocks to sustainable levels.

Tight restrictions may create an economic incentive for seafood substitution, whereby less valuable species are mislabelled and sold under the names of more expensive ones. Substitutions among closely related fish species are difficult to detect, because most distinguishing features are lost during processing. Although the name ‘red snapper’ is applied to other species in different countries, only *L. campechanus* can legally be labelled as red snapper in the United States.

To investigate the extent of possible mislabelling in the United States, we did a molecular phylogenetic survey of fish sold as red snapper in the United States (Fig. 1). We compared DNA sequences from retail samples with those deposited in GenBank, which contains sequences from all snappers for which landing data are recorded by the US National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS).

Our results show that mislabelling is a large-scale phenomenon: 77% of fish sold as red snapper (17/22) were in fact other species (Fig. 1). This estimate has a margin of error of 17%, meaning that between 60% and 94% of fish sold as red snapper in the United States are mislabelled. Among the sequences from fish that are not *L. campechanus*, five are grouped very closely with those from other Atlantic species. Of these, sequences from two are nearly identical to those from the lane snapper, differing only by single-nucleotide substitutions (Fig. 1). The three other putative Atlantic species in our sample are grouped with vermilion snapper, with only about 2% sequence divergence.

Surprisingly, more than half of our analysed sequences are either grouped closely with species from other regions of the world or represent species too rare to have yet been included in molecular phylogenetic surveys. For example, two fish can be definitively identified as crimson snappers, a species from the Indo-West Pacific. And sequences from several other fish form clades that do not seem to be closely related to any reference sequences. These fish represent species that are either not caught in US waters or not yet individually managed by the NMFS.

Mislabelling of fish can occur at different points in the commercial process: for example, retail mislabelling probably explains the presence of crimson snapper in our sample, because these fish occur in an entirely different part of the world from *L. campechanus*. Fish substitutions may occur on the boat or at the dock, because species that are morphologically similar to *L. campechanus* are caught together, as is the case for most reef fisheries. The implications for resource management are important: substitutions made before commercial processing of catch data are submitted to the regulatory agencies will cause inflation of catch estimates for the most desirable species and simultaneous underreporting of catches for less desirable, and potentially unmanaged, species. Although it is widely acknowledged that intentional misreporting and unintentional misidentification of species can both bias fishery-dependent data, the effect of this bias cannot be evaluated unless fish are sampled before the collection of catch data.

The remarkable extent of product mislabelling in the case of *L. campechanus* threatens to distort the status of fish stocks as perceived by consumers, which contributes to the false impression that the supply of fish is keeping up with demand. Peter B. Marko, Sarah C. Lee, Amber M. Rice, Joel M. Gramling, Tara M. Fitzhenry, Justin S. McAlister, George R. Harper, Amy L. Moran
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